UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,342	08/01/2003	Jonathan C. Makielski	960296.98989	4060
26734 QUARLES & F	7590 08/20/200 BRADY LLP	EXAMINER		
33 E. MAIN ST, SUITE 900			PAK, MICHAEL D	
P.O. BOX 2113 MADISON, WI 53701-2113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/632,342	MAKIELSKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael Pak	1646			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	av 2008				
•		action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	.x parto Quayro, 1000 0. 5 . 11, 10	.o. G. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,19,20,28,29 and 52</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,19,20,28,29 and 52</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
		·				
	on Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-2-08</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/632,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed May 2, 2008 has been entered.

2. Arguments presented on paper filed May 2, 2008 has been considered below.

2 Claims 1, 19-20, 28-29 and 52 are pending. Claims 2-18, 21-27 and 30-51 are

canceled. Claims 1, 19-20, 28-29 and 52 are examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3 Claims 1, 19-20, 28-29 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 recite the term "complement of SEQ ID NO:8" which is confusing because it is not clear what is a complement of an amino acid sequence. It is suggested the term "fully complementary sequence..." be used in relation to the nucleic acid encoding the SEQ ID NO:8. Claims 19-20, 28-29 and 52 are depending on claim 52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4 Claims 1, 19-20, 28-29, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Splawski et al. (US 6,342,357).

The reason for the rejection has been set forth in the previous office action.

Splawski et al. disclose nucleic acid encoding SCN5A sodium channel (SEQ ID NO:4) which has an alteration at amino acid 552 of the claimed SEQ ID NO:8 encoded by the nucleic acid (columns 4-8; see attached sequence comparison). Splawski et al. disclose nucleic acid encoding SCN5A (SEQ ID NO:4) which has 99.5% amino acid sequence identity to the claimed SEQ ID NO:8 encoded by the nucleic acid (see sequence comparison attachment). Splawski et al. disclose vectors comprising the nucleic acid of above and cells comprising the vectors (columns 9-12).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,342 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

Applicants argue that Spalwski et al. do not disclose the identical sequence to claimed SEQ ID NO:8. However, the term complement of SEQ ID NO:8 is not clear and has been interpreted to include small fragments.

5 No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0879. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 2:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,342 Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646 August 13, 2008